

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,764	01/14/2004	Per Egnelov	030481-0213	1513
22428 FOLEY AND	7590 08/29/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500		TYSON, MELANIE RUANO		
3000 K STRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/756,764	EGNELOV ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Melanie Tyson	3773		

	Melanie Tyson	3773						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	THE REPLY FILED 02 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. \(\times\) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) \(\frac{1}{2} \) The period for reply expires \(\frac{9}{2} \) months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee se action; or (2) as					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous NOTE:, (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			10 133003 101					
 Into amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). Sol Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling it 								
non-allowable claim(s), 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that of the claim (s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		l be entered and an ex	oplanation of					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 33(d)(1).								
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☒ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 		•						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
/(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3773	/Melanie Tyson/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773							

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The applicant squess that the actuator plays no role in the second mode, since the actuator is not moved in the tamping process and the tamping is performed manually by using a tamping member. It is the examiner's position that Nash discloses the actuator is operable in a first mode (pushing to forward position) for deployment of the inner member and a second mode (retacting) configured for tamping an outer member as claimed. The actuator is moved during the temping process in that it is retacted as the tamping member is slid down the filament (for example, see column 14, lines 1-3), It is noted that claims 1, 17, and 22 do not require physical contact between the actuator and the sealing member during the tamping process.